

VI CONGRESSO NAZIONALE DI ECOCARDIOCHIRURGIA MILANO 15-17 OTTOBRE 2012

La rottura istmica dell'aorta: molte cose sono cambiate nel trattamento di questa patologia.

Davide Pacini, MD





Traumatic aortic rupture

Andrea Vesalius, 1557





Incidence of TAR in autopsies for accidental death



1947 (Strassman G): 0.7% 1997 (Eddy CA):10 % 2002 (Richens D): 22%



8000 deaths/year (USA)



Cause of death in Trauma







Definition: acute traumatic aortic rupture (ATAR)

- traumatic injury of the aortic wall
- aortic transection or disruption

with dilatation

frequently cause life-threatening

bleeding complications

Injury to intima and media with intact adventitia and formation of pseudoaneurysm



Macura et al. Am. J. Roentgenol. 2003





What types of accidents cause ATAR?

car crahes >50 km/h into fixed barrier

(no seat belt, steering-wheel injury)

- ejections from vehicle
- motorcycle crashes
- pedestrian hits by motor vehicle
- falls > 3 meters
- airplane crashes







Theories of blunt aortic injury



combination of forces:

stretching, shearing, torsion "waterhammer" effect (simultaneous occlusion of the aorta and a sudden elevation in blood pressure) "osseous pinch" effect (entrapment of the aorta between the anterior chest wall and the vertebral column)



Incidence and localisation of ATAR



surgical series:

- 84 to 97% at the isthmus
- 3 to 10% in the ascending, arch

or distal descending aorta.

Razzouk AJ et al. Arch Surg 2000;135:913. Fabian TC et al. J Trauma 1997;42:374. Sweeney MS et al. Ann Thorac Surg 1997;64:384. Hilgenberg AD et al. Ann Thorac Surg 1992;53:233. Kirsh MM et al. Ann Surg 1976;184:308. von Oppell UO et al. Ann Thorac Surg 1994;58:585. Kieny R, Charpentier A. J Cardiovasc Surg (Torino) 1991;32:613. Cowley RA et al. J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg 1990;100:652.





Incidence and localisation of ATAR



www.umdnj.edu/research/publications/fall04/12_motor_vehicle.htm

autopsy series:

- 36 to 54% occur at the aortic isthmus
- 8 to 27% involve the ascending aorta
- 8 to 18% occur in the arch
- 11 to 21% involve the distal

descending aorta

Feczko JD et al. J Trauma 1992;33:846. Parmley L et al. Circulation 1958;17:1086. Arajarvi E et al. J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg 1989;98:355. Rabinsky I et al. Ann Thorac Surg 1990;50:155.





Type of lesion









Saccular aneurysm





Circumferential rupture









Traumatic aortic rupture: natural history





Immediate Surgery

Parmley LF, Mattingly TW, Marian WC. Non-penetrating traumatic injury of the aorta *Circulation 1958;17:1086-1100*





Immediate Surgery

Mortality

Year	Author	Mortality (%)	N. patients
1977	Kirsch	25	43
1981	Akins	22	44
1981	Katz	25	35
1985	Pate	13	59
1985	Mattox	36	32
1989	Cowley	42	58
1990	Del Rossi	33	27
1992	Pierangeli	19	15
1996	Hunt	32	118







Open surgery







Authors	Year	Patients (N)	Mortality N (%)	Paraplegia N (%)
Clamp/sew				
Von Oppell*	1994	443	71 (16%)	85 (19.2%)
Fabian	1997	73	11 (15.1%)	12 (16.4%)
Razzouk	2000	83	15 (18.1%)	5 (6%)
Jahromi*	2001	220	33 (15%)	14/194 (7%)
Passive shunt				
Von Oppell*	1994	424	52 (12.3%)	47 (11.1%)
Fabian	1997	4	0	0
Jahromi*	2001	52	4 (8%)	2/48 (4%)
Left bypass				
Von Oppell*	1994	71	7 (9.9%)	1 (1.7%)
Fabian	1997	69	10 (14.5%)	2 (2.9%)
Jahromi*	2001	100	17 (17%)	0
СРВ				
Von Oppell*	1994	490	89 (18.2%)	12 (2.4%)
Fabian	1997	39	5 (12.8%)	3 (7.7%)
Jahromi*	2001	246	23 (9.3%)	5/227 (2.2%)
Downing	2000	50	5 (10%)	0
Jamieson	2002	35	5 (14.3%)	0
Langanay	2002	48	9 (18.8%)	1 (2%)

Open surgery



Associated injuries

	1975-1990		2000	-2005
	n (%)	Mortality	n (%)	Mortality
Open cardiac injury	11 (11)	11 (100)	0	
Blunt cardiac injury	19 (18)	14 (74)	12 (22.6)	6 (50)
Great vessel injury	6(6)	5 (83)	0	
Carotid dissection	0		2	0
Closed head injury	52 (50)	35 (67)	27 (50.9)	12(45)
Spine injury	15 (14)	11 (73)	16 (30.2)	5 (32)
Pulmonary injury	55 (53)	30 (55)	26 (49.6)	11 (42)
Abdominal injury	59 (57)	46 (78)	24 (45.3)	5 (21)
Pelvic fracture	25 (24)	16 (64)	24 (45.3)	7 (29)
Long bone fracture	53 (51)	30 (57)	27 (50.9)	6 (22)



Cardiac Surgery Department - University of Bologna - Italy Cook J. JTCVS 2006 dav.pacini@gmail.com



DELAYED CRITERIA

- Central nervous system severe trauma
- Severe respiratory insufficiency
- Extended Body-burns
- Contaminated open-wounds
- Sepsis

Akins. Annals 1981

Pierangeli 1992

•ICU

- •Life-parameters monitoring
- •Mechanical ventilation
- Arterial hypertension controlSurgical treatment of the associate lesions





STORIA NATURALE DEI SOPRAVVISSUTI

(492 pts, clinical series)

Mortality due to aortic rupture: 4.5%

Intimal lesion







Surgical Indications and Timing of Repair of Traumatic Ruptures of the Thoracic Aorta

Roberto Galli, MD, Davide Pacini, MD, Roberto Di Bartolomeo, MD, Rossella Fattori, MD, Bruno Turinetto, MD, Giovanni Grillone, MD, and Angelo Pierangeli, MD

Departments of Cardiac Surgery and Radiology and Intensive Care Unit, University of Bologna, Bologna, Italy

42 natients	Characteristics	Group I	Group II
12 patients	Hospital deaths (3 intraoperative)	4 (19%)	0(0%)
Crown I (Immediate Surgery).	Complications		
Group I (Innitediate Surgery):	Paraplegia	3	
21 pts	Paraparesis	1	
	Acute renal failure	1	
Croup II (Dolovod Surgery).	Coma	1	
Group II (Delayeu Surgery).	Bleeding	1	
21 pts	Laryngeal nerve lesion	3	1
	Chylothorax		1
	Pericarditis		1
	Total	10 (47.6%)	3 (27.3%)

Table 2. Mortality and Morbidity

Ann Thorac Surg 1998;65:461-4





Surgical Indications and Timing of Repair of Traumatic Ruptures of the Thoracic Aorta

Roberto Galli, MD, Davide Pacini, MD, Roberto Di Bartolomeo, MD, Rossella Fattori, MD, Bruno Turinetto, MD, Giovanni Grillone, MD, and Angelo Pierangeli, MD

Departments of Cardiac Surgery and Radiology and Intensive Care Unit, University of Bologna, Bologna, Italy

Background. The outcome of patients with acute traumatic rupture of the thoracic aorta after motor vehicle accidents is strongly conditioned by injuries to other districts. The timing of repair is controversial when the patients arrive alive to the hospital.

Methods. A series of 42 patients with acute traumatic rupture of the thoracic aorta observed between January 1980 and June 1996 was divided into two groups: group I underwent immediate repair (21 patients) and in group II operation was performed after intensive medical treatment and management of the associated lesions and monitoring of the aortic tear.

Results. The mortality in group I patients was 19% and

the morbidity was more significant than in group II where no deaths were reported and complications were minor.

Conclusions. Patients with acute traumatic rupture of the thoracic aorta may have a better fighting chance if aortic operation is postponed to the most favorable moment after undergoing life-sustaining measures and management of the major associated lesions. Needless to say, evolution should be closely monitored by computed tomographic scans and magnetic resonance imaging.

> (Ann Thorac Surg 1998;65:461-4) © 1998 by The Society of Thoracic Surgeons







DELAYED SURGICAL TREATMENT

The Journal of TRAUMA® Injury, Infection, and Critical Care

Blunt Traumatic Thoracic Aortic Injuries: Early or Delayed Repair—Results of an American Association for the Surgery of Trauma Prospective Study

Demetrios Demetriades, MD, George C. Velmahos, MD, Thomas M. Scalea, MD, Gregory J. Jurkovich, MD, Riyad Karmy-Jones, MD, Pedro G. Teixeira, MD, Mark R. Hemmila, MD, James V. O'Connor, MD, Mark O. McKenney, MD, Forrest O. Moore, MD, Jason London, MD, Michael J. Singh, MD, Konstantinos Spaniolas, MD, Marius Keel, MD, Michael Sugrue, MD, Wendy L. Wahl, MD, Jonathan Hill, MD, Mathew J. Wall, MD, Ernest E. Moore, MD, Edward Lineen, MD, Daniel Margulies, MD, Valerie Malka, MD, and Linda S. Chan, PhD

MORTALITY	RATE
EARLY REPAIR	16.5%
DELAYED REPAIR	5.8%

The Journal of **TRAUMA**[®] Injury, Infection, and Critical Care

2008

	All Patients (n = 178), % (n)	Early Repair (n = 109), % (n)	Delayed Repair (n = 69) % (n)	Odds Ratio (95% CI)	p
Deaths	12.4 (22)	16.5 (18)	5.8 (4)	3.21 (1.04-9.94)	0.034
Any systemic complications Complications	43.8 (78)	41.5 (45)	47.6 (33)	1.30 (0.71-2.39)	0.391
Procedure-related paraplegia	1.7 (3)	1.8 (2)	1.4 (1)	1.27 (0.11–14.29)	1.000
Pneumonia	32.0 (57)	32.1 (35)	31.9 (22)	1.01 (0.53-1.93)	0.975
ARDS	13.5(24)	11.9 (13)	15.9 (11)	0.71 (0.30-1.70)	0.445
Septicemia	14.0 (25)	13.8 (15)	14.5 (10)	0.94 (0.40-2.23)	0.891
UTI	16.9 (30)	14.7 (16)	20.3 (14)	0.68 (0.31-1.49)	0.330
DVT	2.2 (4)	1.8 (2)	2.9 (2)	0.63 (0.09-4.55)	0.642
Renal failure	9.0 (16)	10.1 (11)	7.2 (5)	1.44 (0.48-4.33)	0.518
	Mean ± SD (median)	Mean ± SD (median)	Mean ± SD (median)	Mean Difference (95% CI)	p
Ventilation days	9.2 ± 11.3 (5)	8.7 ± 10.4 (5)	10.0 ± 12.6 (7)	-1.21 (-4.69 to 2.27)	0.293
ICU days	13.3 ± 12.1 (9)	12.3 ± 11.8 (7)	14.9 ± 12.5 (12)	-2.58 (-6.28 to 1.11)	0.016
Hospital days	23.4 ± 33.2 (19)	19.9 ± 16.6 (15)	28.8 ± 48.4 (22)	-8.91 (-19.07 to 1.26)	0.007
Blood transfusion units	10.8 ± 17.2 (6)	9.8 ± 15.8 (6)	12.4 ± 19.6 (6)	-2.58 (-8.04 to 2.89)	0.736





SIGNS OF IMPENDING RUPTURE (Pate W, World J Surg 1995)

- Uncontrolled blood pressure
- Repeated hemothorax > 800 cc
- Contrast medium extravasation on CT scan
- Circumferential/irregular lesion (+/- Pseudocoartaction)





SIGNS OF IMPENDING RUPTURE (Pate W, World J Surg 1995)

- Uncontrolled blood pressure
- Repeated hemothorax > 800 cc
- Contrast medium extravasation on CT scan
- Circumferential/irregular lesion (+/- Pseudocoartaction)









SIGNS OF IMPENDING RUPTURE (Pate W, World J Surg 1995)

- Uncontrolled blood pressure
- Repeated hemothorax > 800 cc
- Contrast medium extravasation on CT scan
- Circumferential/irregular lesion (+/- Pseudocoartaction)









Traumatic rupture of the thoracic aorta: Ten years of delayed management

Davide Pacini, MD^a Emanuela Angeli, MD^a Rossella Fattori, MD^b Luigi Lovato, MD^b Guido Rocchi, MD^c Luca Di Marco, MD^a Marcello Bergonzini, MD^a Giovanni Grillone, MD^d Roberto Di Bartolomeo, MD^a



Grillone, Di Bartolomeo, Pacini (left to right)

Objective: Traumatic rupture of the thoracic aorta is a highly fatal condition in which patient outcome is strongly conditioned by other associated injuries. Delayed aortic treatment has been proposed to improve results.

Methods: The charts of 69 patients with traumatic rupture of the thoracic aorta observed between 1980 and 2003 were reviewed. Patients were grouped according the timing of repair: group I, immediate repair (21 patients); and group II, delayed repair (48 patients). In group II, 45 patients were treated surgically or by endovascular procedure.

Results: In-hospital mortalities were 4 of 21 patients (19%) in group I and 2 of 48 patients (4.2%) in group II. There were 3 cases of paraplegia in group I and none in group II.

GROUP II DELAYED REPAIR 5/48 (10.4%) patients underwent emergent treatment

J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg 2005;129:880-4







Bursting the deadly danger of aortic aneurysms



When a doctor found the theoli in Kodrick James' gut, the 68grandfather knew he was in danger faults. 'R was a publishing mass," the 0 Okla, man says. "Every time the 1

The acetic anearysm — a wrake wall of the body's largest blood ve bidging with each best of his heart Left unrensted, the growing his likely barst without warning.

massive blocking, followed by shock of certain dead. As better way, Vascular surgeon Jan Metars, rept, and oacdai something 1 dead's result has dear an active same they repair an sortic areacyses. The dearshow's

um he deadly. But a new procedure is offering hope for the 200,000 people a year who learn they have the defect. As he weighed having his answrysm remained haves amentime, completed a forest



Thoracic aortic disease: endovascular repair

•Reliable and effective

Stanford 1992 "Home-made" self expanding Z-stent-graft

•Lower morbility and mortality than open surgical repair

Table 2. Early postoperative outcomes

	Endovascular group	Open surgical group	<i>P</i> value
Mortality: 30 d or in hospital	2.1% (n = 3)	11.7% (n = 11)	.004
Respiratory failure*	4%	20%	<.001
Postoperative MI	0%	1%	.40
Renal failuret	1%	13%	.01
Wound infection/dehiscence	4%	11%	.07
GI complication (ileus, bowel ischemia, or bowel obstruction)	2%	6%	.16
Peripheral vascular complications‡	14%	4%	.015
Neurologic complications			
CVA	4% (n = 5)	4% (n = 4)	1.00
Paraplegia/paraparesis	3% (n = 4)	14% (n = 13)	.003
Mean ICU length of stay (d)	2.6 ± 14.6	5.2 ± 7.2	<.001
Mean length of hospital stay (d)	7.4 ± 17.7	14.4 ± 12.8	<.001

Bavaria JA, J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg 2006

Cardiac Surgery Department - University of Bologna – Italy dav.pacini@gmail.com



Melbourne 1996 Talent Thoracic nitinol/polyester stent-graft



Traumatic aortic rupture: diagnosis









The advent of thoracic endovascular aortic repair is associated with broadened treatment eligibility and decreased overall mortality in traumatic thoracic aortic injury Michael S. Hong, MD, Robert J. Feezor, MD, W. Anthony Lee, MD, and Peter R. Nelson, MD, MS, *Gainesville, Fla*



Proportion of patients receiving intervention by year







TEVAR in traumatic aortic rupture

Significant reduction in morbidity and mortality (~0)

No paraplegia (short SG segment)

Xenos ES, J Vasc Surg 2008



		Patients, No.	(%)	Procedur mortality	e-related , No. (%)	30-day mor (%	rtality, No. %)	Paraplegi No.	a/paresis, (%)
First author (year)	Total	TEVAR	Open	TEVAR	Open	TEVAR	Open	TEVAR	Open
Amabile (2004)	12	3 (25)	9 (75)	0(0)	1(11)	0(0)	1(11)	0(0)	0(0)
Andrassy (2006)	31	15 (48)	16 (52)	1(7)	2 (13)	2 (13)	3 (19)	0 (0)	2 (13)
Broux (2006)	30	13 (43)	17 (57)	0 (0)	1 (6)	2 (15)	4 (24)	0 (0)	1(6)
Buz (2007)	74	39 (53)	35 (47)	2 (5)	3 (9)	3 (8)	7 (20)	0 (0)	0 (0)
Chung (2007)	71	29 (41)	42 (59)	0 (0)	4(10)	0 (0)	4(10)	0 (0)	8 (19)
Cook (2006)	42	19 (45)	23 (55)	0 (0)	0 (0)	4(21)	5 (22)	0 (0)	1(4)
Doss (2005)	19	7 (37)	12 (63)	0 (0)	2(17)	0 (0)	2 (17)	1(14)	(O) O
Kasirajan (2003)	15	5 (33)	10 (67)	0 (0)	5 (50)	1(20)	5 (50)	0(0)	(O) O
Kokotsakis (2007)	32	22 (69)	10 (31)	0 (0)	1 (10)	1(5)	1 (10)	0 (0)	1(10)
Kuhne (2005)	41	5 (12)	36 (88)	0 (0)	6 (17)	0 (0)	6 (17)	N/S	N/S
Lebl (2006)	17	7 (41)	10 (59)	1(14)	2 (20)	1(14)	2 (20)	0 (0)	0 (0)
Mcphee (2006)	13	8 (62)	5 (38)	0 (0)	1 (20)	2 (25)	1 (20)	0 (0)	O (O)
Ott (2004)	18	6 (33)	12 (67)	0 (0)	2(17)	0 (0)	2(17)	0 (0)	2(17)
Pacini (2005)	66	15 (23)	51 (77)	0 (0)	3 (6)	0 (0)	4(8)'	0 (0)	4(8)'
Riesenman (2007)	62	14 (23)	48 (77)	0 (O)	11(23)	2(14)	19 (40)	0 (O)	O (O)
Rousseau (2004)	36	8 (22)	28 (78)	0 (O)	6 (21)	0 (0)	6 (21)	0 (O)	3 (11)
Stampfl (2005)	10	5 (50)	5 (50)	0 (0)	0 (0)	(0) O	(0) O	0 (0)	2 (0)
Total	589	220 (37)	369 (63)	(4(2))	50 (14)	18 (8)	72 (20)	$1 (0)^{a}$	$22(7)^{a}$

Conclusions: Meta-analysis of retrospective cohort studies indicates that endovascular treatment of descending thoracic aortic trauma is an alternative to open repair and is associated with lower postoperative mortality and ischemic spinal cord complication rates. (J Vasc Surg 2008;48:1343-51.)

dav.pacini@gmail.com

Operative Repair or Endovascular Stent Graft in Blunt Traumatic Thoracic Aortic Injuries: Results of an American Association for the Surgery of Trauma Multicenter Study

Table 3 Outcomes by Therapeutic Modality

Outcome	All Patients (N = 193)	Operative Repair (N = 68)	Endovascular Stent Graft (N = 125)	Odds Ratio (95% Cl)	<i>p</i> *
Mortality Percent (x) died Any systemic	13.0 (25)	23.5 (16)	7.2 (9)	3.97 (1.65 to 9.56)	0.001
Percent (x) yes Complications	45.1 (87)	50.0 (34)	42.4 (53)	1.36 (0.75 to 2.46)	0.311
Percent (x/n) paraplegia [†]	1.6 (3/193)	2.9 (2/68)	0.8 (1/125)	3.76 (0.33 to 42.21)	0.284

Table 6 Stent Graft Related Complications

	All (n = 125)	Gore (n = 89)	Cook (n = 17)	Odds Ratio (95% Cl)	р
Endoleak, % (n)	13.6 (17)	10.1 (9/89)	29.4 (5/17)	0.27 (0.08 to 0.94)	0.047
Any stent graft related complications, % (n)	18.4 (23)	15.7 (14/89)	35.3 (6/17)	0.34 (0.11 to 1.08)	0.087
Any stent graft related complications,	4.8 (6)	5.6 (5/89)	5.9 (1/17)	0.95 (0.10 to 8.70)	1.000
endoleak excluded, % (n)					

J of Trauma 2008







Traumatic rupture of the thoracic aorta: Ten years of delayed management

Davide Pacini, MD^a Emanuela Angeli, MD^a Rossella Fattori, MD^b Luigi Lovato, MD^b Guido Rocchi, MD^a Luca Di Marco, MD^a Marcello Bergonzini, MD^a Giovanni Grillone, MD^d Roberto Di Bartolomeo, MD^a



Grillone, Di Bartolomeo, Pacini (left to right)

GROUP II DELAYED REPAIR (48 PTS)

Average time from injury to the aortic repair

Surgical repair	Endovascular
(30 patients)	repair (15 patients)
4.8 <u>+</u> 4.1 months	9.6 <u>+</u> 9.1 days

p=0.001

J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg 2005;129:880-4





Which is not a suitable anatomy for stent graft in a traumatic injury?

Proximal neck< 0.5 mm (risk of Isa/vertebral artery coverage or cerebellar infarction)

Intramural hemorrage at neck site

Femoral/iliac artery < 7-8 mm of diameter

Small aortic diameter in young patients

Angulate arch <60°













Traumatic aortic lesion: BOLOGNA EXPERIENCE



Mortality: 2 pts (4.5%)

1 pt before repair for aortic rupture 1 pt after stent repair for MOF

Since 2005 no Surgical Repair for Traumatic Aortic Rupture







Algorithm in thoracic trauma patients







EVOLUTION IN THE TREATMENT





Mortality (%)





THANK

YOU

Alma Mater Studiorum





Potential problems with EVAR in ATAR

small access vessel (young females)

angulated arch

excessive oversizing of ESGs

(available ESGs: smallest size 20 mm)

coverage of LSA

collapse of ESGs



Cardiac Surgery Department - University dav.pacini@gmail.com


Morbidity and mortality of EVAR in ATAR

Table 1: Endovascular treatment: results of literature review				
	N	Mortality	Paraplegia	Complications (n)
Thompson et al	5	0	0	0
Fujikowa et al	6*	1**	0	0
Orend et al	11	1**	0	2 II ^{ary} vascular surgery
Lachat et al	12*	1**	0	1 endoleak II ^{ary} stent graft
Daenen et al	7	1**	0	0
Czermak et al	6	0	0	1 endoleak II ^{ary} stent graft
Melnitchouk et al	15	1	0	Type I endoleak (1)
Scheinert et al	10	0	0	Renal failure (1)
Marty-Ané et al	9	0	0	0
Orford et al	9	1	0	Arm ischemia (1)
Amabile et al	9	0	0	0
Personal experi- ence	33	0	0	1 atelectasia
Total	128	6 (5%)	0	
* Emergency cases, *	* not procedu	re related	!	



Rousseau H CIRSE 2007

0

TEAM approach (Mainz)

- EVAR for acute thoracic pathologies introduced in 1995
- team of surgeons and interventional radiologists
- anesthesiology: general (local) anesthesia
- Back-up of perfusionist with CPB
- hybrid OR





Patients and Methods (Mainz)

- follow-up last 13 years (clinical visits and CT-scan every year)
- Patients (9) traumatized by motorbike/car accidents and incomplete suicides.
- mean age was 32.3 ± 12.3 years (range 18–49 years)

accompanying findings:

- ✓ hemato-mediastinum and hematothorax (9)
- ✓ pneumothorax (2)
- ✓ serial rib fractures (7)
- \checkmark fractures of the peripheral skeleton (7)
- ✓ cranial fractures (7)
- ✓ intracranial trauma (edema (1), subarachnoid bleeding (2))
- ✓ epidural hematoma (1)
- ✓ intra-abdominal bleeding (liver (1), spleen (3))
- ✓ mesenteric trauma (2)

rupture site loco typico (8), rupture site distal descending (1)

Cardiac Surgery Department - University of Bologna – Italy dav.pacini@gmail.com Pitton MB et al. Cardiovasc Intervent Radiol. 2008;31:23-34



EVAR data (Mainz)

- CT-confirmed diagnosis of ATAR
- CT reconstructions used for procedure planning
- ESGs inserted via femoral (7) or iliac (2) cutdown
- ESGs placed distal of the subclavian artery (7)
- ESGs placed distal of the left carotid artery (2) (LSA covered)
- ESG migrated during deployment (1) => 2nd ESG with good result





Cardiac Surgery Department - University of Bologna – Italy dav.pacini@gmail.com Pitton MB et al. Cardiovasc Intervent Radiol. 2008;31:23-3

Results (Mainz)

- All procedures were successful.
- All patients demonstrated immediate sealing of bleeding.
- No neurologic deficits occurred.
- No procedure-related or 30-day mortality.
- Follow-up was 46.4 \pm 43.9 months (range 3–113 months).



Cardiac Surgery Department - University of Bologna – Italy dav.pacini@gmail.com Pitton MB et al. Cardiovasc Intervent Radiol. 2008;31:23



Results (Mainz)

One patient suffered from depression and committed suicide 20

months after uneventful follow-up.

- One patient demonstrates a type I endoleak (has reduced spontaneously).
- One patient developed new-onset arterial hypertension

(buckling of the ESG, pressure gradient of 15 mmHg over ESG;

aortic surgery: 12 months after EVAR, proximal struts were



removed).



Cardiac Surgery Department - University of Bologna – Italy dav.pacini@gmail.com Pitton MB et al. Cardiovasc Intervent Radiol. 2008;31:23-3

Summary

Emergency open surgery for ATAR has high mortality (5-25%) and

paraplegia rates (5-19%).

Rousseau H et al. J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg 2005;129:1050–55. Kuhne CA et al. Unfallchirurgie 2005;108:279–87.

Our EVAR data demonstrate excellent outcome and ESG durability

without ESG-related mortality or morbidity in long-term follow-up.

We consider the treatment of contained ATAR secondary to other

life-threatening traumas (interdisciplinary decision based on

individual clinical conditions and CT findings).





Summary

Literature reports high technical success rates for EVAR in patients with ATAR of between 92% and 100%.

Rousseau H et al. J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg 2005;129:1050–55.

Michelet P et al. Ann Fr Anesth Reanim 2005;24:355–360. Attia C et al. Cardiovasc Intervent Radiol 2007;30:628-37. Saratzis NA et al. Cardiovasc Intervent Radiol 2007;30:370–75.

Technical failures predominantly due to proximal type-I endoleaks (7.8%).

Neuhauser B et al. Ann Surg 2004;70:1039-44.

Damage to femoral or iliac vessels occurs in up to 23% of cases.

Amabile P et al. Ann Vasc Surg 2006;20:723–30.

- Secondary procedure-related complications included collapse of ESGs (3.6%).
- After LSA covering, no patient suffered from arm claudication or
 - neurological events in our series.





Conclusion

EVAR is a safe and effective procedure for emergency

treatment of acute bleeding originating from ATARs.

Results are excellent,

even over the long term.

Follow-up is mandatory to identify late complications of

ESGs and to make additional corrections if required.





UNIVERSITĀTSmedizin. MAINZ

Vascular Course: Open and Endovascular Aortic Therapy, Bergamo, Italy, March 2010

TRAUMATIC AORTIC RUPTURE (Pathomechanisms and treatment options)



Ernst Weigang Cardiac Surgery Department - University of Bologna – Italy Department of Cardiothoracic and Vascula Surgery Miniversity Hospital Mainz, Germany



What types of accidents cause ATAR?

1) car and motorcycle crashes

2) pedestrian hits by motor vehicle

3) falls > 3 meters (e.g. airplane crashes)

4) all of them







Indications for EVAR in ATAR?

- 1) individual patient-based decision
- in patients with combination of aortic and multi-organ injuries
 - a) EVAR preferable to surgery
 - b) surgery preferable to EVAR
- 3) answer 1) and 2a)
- 4) answer 1) and 2b)





Advantages of EVAR in ATAR?

1) no thoracotomy

2) no aortic X-clamping

3) length of covered aorta limited to the diseased segment

4) less risk of spinal-cord ischemia









Potential problems with EVAR in ATAR?

- 1) small access vessel
- 2) coverage of LSA
- 3) collapse of ESGs
- 4) available size of ESGs

5) all of them







Which statement is correct?

- 1) EVAR is a safe and effective procedure for emergency treatment of acute bleeding originating from ATARs.
- 2) Results are excellent, even over the long term course.
- 3) Follow-up is mandatory to identify late complications of

ESGs.

- 4) all of them.
- 5) none of them.







EVAR in ATAR





Cardiac Surgery Department - University of Bouseau H et al. Circulation 1999;99:498-504 dav.pacini@gmail.com



EVAR







3 years after EVAR





CT: 30-year-old male after motor vehicle accident; ATAR at the isthmus.



angiogram of ATAR at the isthmus





TEVAR in ATAR







TEVAR in ATAR







ATAR (Mainz)







6 month follow-up after EVAR (Mainz)







Chest X-ray – mediastinal extension





dav.pacini@gmail.com

Chest X-ray – mediastinal extension





CT – mediastinal hematoma







Angiography - disruption







Angiography - disruption







Physical signs

- hemodynamic shock
- fracture of sternum, clavicle, scapula, or ribs
- steering wheel imprint on chest
- cardiac murmurs
- hoarseness
- dyspnea
- back pain
- hemothorax
- pseudo-"coarctation syndrome" with unequal extremity blood pressures
- paraplegia or paraparesis







Diagnostic

- chest X-ray
- TTE / TEE
- CT-scan
- angiography







TEE - aortic tear







CT - mediastinal hematoma / hemothorax





dav.pacini@gmail.com



EVAR in ATAR

39 published series (2001-2006)

352 patients

• 30-day-mortality: 11.2% (0-23.1)

paraplegia: none



Pitton MB et al. Cardiovasc Intervent Radiol. 2008;31:23-35.

Literatur review



Patients and methods (Mainz)

(n)	Total	Traumatic ruptures
Procedures (total)		10
General anesthesia		9
Local anesthesia		1
Primary procedures		9
Stent-grafts		10
Stentor; Talent; Valiant		2; 7; 1
Secondary procedures		1/9 (11)
Endovascular		
Open surgery		1/9 (11%)°

Pitton MB et al. Cardiovasc Intervent Radiol. 2008;31:23-35.







Results (Mainz)

	Traumatic rupture	
Patients (n)	9	
Male/female	8/1	
Age, years (range)	$32.3 \pm 12.3 (18-49)$	
30-day mortality	0	
30-day morbidity	0	
Stent-graft-related	0	
Not stent-graft-related	0	
Follow-up, months (range)	$46.4 \pm 43.9 (3-113)$	
Late mortality	1/9 (11%) ^c	
Late morbidity	1/9 (11%) ^g	
Stent-graft-related	0	
Not stent-graft-related	1 open surgery	
Endoleaks (EL) and perigraft leaks	1/9 (11%) proximal anchor leak	





Historical surgical repair – interposition of Dacron prosthesis





Cardiac Surgery Department - University of Bologna –Crawford; Diseases of the aorta; 1984 dav.pacini@gmail.com


Mechanism of injury in blunt traumatic aortic rupture



























conclusions

Endovascular repair :

- is safer than conventional surgery mostly in instable/emergent pts.
- allows for prompt treatment of associated lesions in complex multitrauma patients.
- may be considered as an hypothetical bridge to open surgery in case of late failure.
- trauma centers should have thoracic endovascular grafts available for optimal patient care.





Traumatic aortic rupture: diagnosis ANGIO CT scan

- Widely available in Emergency Departments
- Rapid/ long volume coverage (total body) in a few seconds
 ECG gating (no pulsatility artifacts)



ascending aorta lesion



c.m. extravasation (complete rupture)



minimal tear





SURGICAL TREATMENT

IMMEDIATE SURGERY : HIGH MORTALITY AND MORBIDITY

Hunt JP et al. *Thoracic aorta injuries: management and outcome of 144 patients* J TRAUMA 1996;40:547-56



Fabian TC, et al. *Prospective study of blunt aortic injury: multicenter trial of the AmericanAssociation for the surgery of Trauma*. J Trauma 1997;42:374-80

NUMBER OF PATIENTS: 274





20-40%)

Endovascular stent-graft of acute traumatic aortic lesion Emergency or delayed treatment?

Disadvantages of EV treatment in the acute phase

- facial bones trauma 15 % of cases —> no TEE
- systemic heparin vs. head and visceral lesions
- aortic dimension in hypovolemic shock
- frequent IMH of the aortic wall (risk of migration/dissection)



Signs of impending rupture or pseudocoarctation syndrome (5 -10% of cases): emergency treatment



Cardiac Surgery Department - University of Bologna – Italy Cardiac Surg. Dept University of Bologna adv.faciili@gmalil.com



Which is not a suitable anatomy for stent graft in a traumatic injury?

Proximal neck< 0.5 mm (risk of lsa/vertebral artery coverage or cerebellar infarction)

Intramural hemorrage at neck site

Femoral/iliac artery < 7-8 mm of diameter

emergency SG



surgery











Potential risks of Isa coverage

- Elypoplasia of one vertebral artery in 10-20% of cases

Left vertebral artery dominant in >60%

Deliberate vertebral ligation to occlude intracranial aneurysms results in 5.4% of ischemic complications



9.2%. 1	TABLE 5 Neurological worsening after Hunterian ligation or tourniquet placement					
		No. (%)	Mode of Deterioration			
Aneurysm Site	No. of of Cases Cases Deterio- rating	Vertebro- basilar Ischemia	Surgical Trauma	Subarachnoid Hemorrhage	Vasospasm	
basilar bifurcation & superior cerebellar artery	83	27 (32.5%)	12 (14.5%)	3 (3.6%)	7 (8.4%)	5 (6%)
basilar trunk	46	10 (21.6%)	6 (13%)	2 (4.3%)	2 (4.3%)	-
vertebrobasilar junction	35	11 (31.4%)	7 (20%)	2 (5.7%)	2 (5.7%)	-
vertebral artery	37	2 (5.4%)	1 (2.7%)	· *	1 (2.7%)	
totals	201	50 (25%)	26 (13%)	7 (3.5%)	12 (6%)	5 (2.5%)

Steinberg G et all, J Neurosurg 199



Cardiac Surgery Department - University of Bologna – Italy Cardiac Surg. Dept. University of Bologna dawgacini@gmail.com



CRITERI DI DILAZIONE SECONDO AKINS

(Annals 1981)

- Trauma severo del sistema nervoso centrale
- Insufficienza respiratoria severa
- Estese ustioni corporee
- Ferite aperte contaminate
- Sepsi





CRITERI DI DILAZIONE (Pierangeli 1992)

- Assistenza in TI dedicata
- Monitoraggio dei parametri vitali
- Assistenza respiratoria (incl. VAM)
- Controllo dell'ipertensione
- Trattamento chirurgico delle lesioni
 associate







Segni di rottura imminente (Pate, Arch Surg 1995)

- Pressione arteriosa incontrollabile
- Emotorace imponente e recidivante > 800 cc
- Lesione circonferenziale
- Stravaso di m.d.c.







6 ore dopo il trauma

8 giorni dopo il trauma ^{Cardiochirurgia Bologna} ^{Vir. Prof. R. Di Bartolomo}

TRATTAMENTO MEDICO INTENSIVO

- Accesso venoso centrale
- Monitoraggio cruento PA
- **Ipotensione controllata** (PA max<120mmHg>80mmHg)
- Infusione ev: β-bloccanti vasodilatatori: nitroprussiato TNT Ca⁺⁺antagonisti





ROTTURE AORTICHE ACUTE

Mortalità

Chirurgia immediata

Chirurgia dilazionata

		Aortic	AssociatedDverall		
Authors	Patients	lesion (%)	lesions (%)	(%)	
Kirsch	43	16	9	25	
Akins	44	20	2	22	
Katz	35	14	11	25	
Pate	59	10	3	13	
Mattox	32	18	18	36	
Cowley	58	32	10	42	
Del Ross	i 27	22	11	33	

Authors Pat	ients	Delay (days)	Mortality (%)
Pate	41	1 -168	10
Kalmar	22	5 - 85	0
Akins [•]	14	2 - 79	14
Kipfer	10	10 - 222	0
Maggisano 4	44	1 - 210	10
Pierangeli	33	6-350	0





Trattamento dilazionato

Autore	Anno	Pazienti (N)	Mortalità complessiva N (%)	Mortalità dovuta a rottura aortica N (%)
Akins	1981	19	2 (10.5)	-
Kipfer	1994	10	0 (0)	0 (0%)
Maggisano	1995	44	2 (4.5)	
Pate	1995	112	21 (18.8)	6 (5.4)
Fabian	1997	21	11 (52.4)	0 (0)
Holmes	2002	30	8 (26.7)	1 (3.3)
Kwon	2002	10	1 (10)	0 (0)
Langanay	2002	19	3 (15.8)	0 (0)
Pacini	2005	48	2 (4.2%)	1 (2.1)





Prognosis of ATAR

ATAR is the most common cause of death after traffic accidents

(15-18% of all deaths after car accidents)

- 80% of victims die at the place of accident (complete aortic transection)
- only 20% reach the hospital alive (incomplete disruption of the intima and media, adventitia and surrounding mediastinal structures intact)
- of those reaching the hospital alive, an additional 5-15% die within a

few hours due to massive, multi-system injuries (unrelated to ATAR)

5-20% of untreated patients are at risk for 2nd rupture in the 1st week

Pate JW et al. World J Surg 1995;19:119-26.







Survival rate after ATAR without treatment







Historical surgical repair ATAR (loco typico)







Historical surgical repair direct suture







Historical surgical repair - patch







Historical open repair graft interposition







Cardiac Surgery Department - University of Bologna – Italy dav.pacini@gmail.com



Neschis DG et al. N Engl J Med 2008;359:1708-16.

Historical surgical results

paraplegia

5-19 %

respiratory failure

22 %

mortality

5-25 %





Operative approaches in ATAR

Variable		Relative Degree of Risk*	
Complication	Clamp and Sew	Shunt–Bypass	Endovascular Repair
Operative stress	High	Medium	Low
Blood loss	Medium	Medium	Low
Operative time	Medium	High	Low
Paraplegia	High	Medium	Low
Clinical scenario			
Patient with high surgical risk	High	Medium	Low
Patient with severe lung injury	High	Medium	Low
Patient with severe head injury	High	High	Low
Patient with challenging anatomy	Medium	Low	High

* Relative degree of risk refers to a general comparison among the three operative procedures.



Cardiac Surgery Department - University Neschis DG et al. N Engl J Med 2008;359:1708-16. dav.pacini@gmail.com











TEVAR technique



EVAR in ATAR





ATAR (loco typico)



A: CT shows a rtic laceration with mediastinal hematoma. **B: status after EVAR** C: angiography shows typical outpouching of vessel at site of contained rupture D: status after EVAR shows complete sealing of the

bleeding

of Bologna – Italy



dav.pacini@gmail.com

Pitton MB et al. Cardiovasc Intervent Radiol. 2008

Advantages of EVAR in ATAR

- no thoracotomy
- no aortic X-clamping
- length of covered aorta limited to the diseased segment
- less risk of spinal-cord ischemia
- severe other injuries pose fewer problems
 - \rightarrow low dose heparin !



